Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/574,863	SASAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	A 4 1 14	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	George Fourson	2823	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavireal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		: FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<u>NOTICE OF APPEAL</u> 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	liance with 27 CEP 41 27 must be t	filed within two month	of the data of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	.001100
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 Soo attached Notice of Non Co.	mnliant Amondment (DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilant Amendment (F10L-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>3/5/10 c</u>	ont'd	
13. ☑ Other: <u>see attached PTO-892</u> .			
	/George Fourson/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2823	

cont. of 12. 2/18/10,7/28/09,3/28/08

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have a reasonable expectation of success that the amorphization method of Yang would be suitable in the amorphization step of Maydan '612. However, polysiliicon is reasonably similar to monocrystalline silicon such that one of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation of success in employing He in plase of Xe or Ar as disclosed by Maydan '612 [0336] in view of the disclosure by Yang that He, Xe and Ar are effective as the plasma used for amorphization (col.5, lines 36-42).

Applicant argues that Downey employs a rapid thermal annealing that would not be expected to be suitable as the annealing of Maydan et al '612. However, the annealing of Downey is a lamp annealing as disclosed by Maydan et al [0322] and is disclosed to be suitable for activation after doping [0032-0033].